



UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL ASIA
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF DEVELOPMENT
Institute of Public Policy and Administration



Promoting Social Cohesion and Conflict Mitigation: Understanding Conflict in the Cross-Border Areas of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan

Kemel Toktomushev

WORKING PAPER NO. 40, 2017



UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL ASIA
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF DEVELOPMENT
Institute of Public Policy and Administration

WORKING PAPER NO. 40, 2017

Promoting Social Cohesion and Conflict Mitigation: Understanding Conflict in the Cross-Border Areas of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan

Kemel Toktomushev

Abstract

Despite the prevalence of works on 'discourses of danger' in the Ferghana Valley, which re-invented Central Asia as a site of intervention, the literature on conflict potential in the cross-border areas of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan is fairly limited. Yet, the number of small scale clashes and tensions on the borders of the Batken and Isfara regions has been growing steadily. Accordingly, this work seeks to contribute to the understanding of the conflict escalations in the area and identify factors that aggravate tensions between the communities. In particular, this article focuses on four variables, which exacerbate tensions and hinder the restoration of a peaceful social fabric in the Batken-Isfara region: the unresolved legacies of the Soviet past, inefficient use of natural resources, militarisation of borders and lack of evidence-based policymaking.

Keywords

Conflict, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, natural resources, borders, evidence-based policymaking

JEL codes: D74, O13

The Institute of Public Policy and Administration was established in 2011 to promote systematic and in-depth research on issues related to the socio-economic development of Central Asia, and to explore policy alternatives.

The Institute of Public Policy and Administration is part of the Graduate School of Development, University of Central Asia. The University of Central Asia (UCA) was founded in 2000. The Presidents of Kazakhstan, the Kyrgyz Republic, and Tajikistan, and His Highness the Aga Khan signed the International Treaty and Charter establishing this secular and private university, ratified by the respective parliaments, and registered with the United Nations. UCA is building three residential campuses of the same stature, facility and standards, and the Naryn campus enrolled its first students in September 2016, offering Communications and Media and Computer Science. The second campus opening in Khorog, Tajikistan in September 2017 will offer Economics as well as Earth and Environmental Sciences. The third campus, at Tekeli, Kazakhstan is anticipated to be open by 2019 and will offer Engineering Sciences and Business and Management.

The Institute of Public Policy and Administration's Working Papers is a peer-reviewed series that publishes original contributions on a broad range of topics dealing with social and economic issues, public administration and public policy as they relate to Central Asia.

IPPA Working Paper Series Editors: Dr Bohdan Krawchenko and Dr Roman Mogilevskii.

About the author:

Dr Kemel Toktomushev is a Research Fellow at the Institute of Public Policy and Administration of the University of Central Asia. Kemel Toktomushev earned his PhD in Politics from the University of Exeter and his MSc degree in International Relations from the London School of Economics. He has extensive work experience in both Western and Central Asian environments, and his primary research interests focus on regime security, virtual politics, and the informal political economy of Central Asia. Kemel Toktomushev is the author of the book 'Kyrgyzstan – Regime Security and Foreign Policy' (UK: Routledge).

Acknowledgements:

The publication of the report was carried out with the aid of a grant from the United States Institute of Peace (USIP), USA. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of USIP.

The author would like to thank Dr David Lewis from the University of Exeter for his comments on the earlier version of the paper.

Copyright © 2017

University of Central Asia

138 Toktogul Street, Bishkek 720001, Kyrgyz Republic

Tel.: +996 (312) 910 822, E-mail: ippa@ucentralasia.org

The findings, interpretations and conclusions expressed in this paper are entirely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the view of the University of Central Asia.

Text and data in this publication may be reproduced as long as the source is cited.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	4
2. Etymology of Conflict	4
3. The Ferghana Valley	5
4. Batken and Sughd	7
5. Causes of Conflict	8
5.1 Soviet Legacy.....	8
5.2 Inefficient Use of Natural Resources.....	10
5.3 Militarisation of Borders.....	11
5.4 Lack of Evidence-Based Policy Advice.....	13
6. Threats of Radical Islamism	14
7. Policy Recommendation	16
8. Conclusion	17
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

The significance and magnitude of violence and conflict potential in the contemporary Ferghana Valley has been identified as one of the most prevalent themes in the study of post-Soviet Central Asia. The densely populated region has been long portrayed as a site of latent inter-ethnic conflict. Not only is the Ferghana Valley a region, where three major ethnic groups – Kyrgyz, Uzbeks and Tajiks – co-exist in a network of interdependent communities, sharing burial sites, grazing grounds, and markets, but it is also where ethnic and political boundaries often do not coincide (Reeves, 2005).

Accordingly, soon after the demise of the Soviet Union, the Ferghana Valley was discursively cast as a volatile and crisis-ridden region prone to sectarian violence. Such discourses characterised Central Asia as a site of intervention, prompting the international community to enter the region to mitigate conflict potential and promote peaceful development. However, such interventions brought little harmony to fractured communities, as they were detached from the local context, simulated “bottom-up” peacebuilding and were overly technical and procedural (Heathershaw, 2010). Moreover, apart from misdiagnosing the causes of the conflicts, international aid agencies inadvertently supported the strengthening of authoritarianism in Central Asia, which generated the real grievances and anxieties in the region (Bichsel, 2005). Surprisingly, many academic and policy-oriented works continued to stress the need for a change that should come from the international community without questioning local realities.

In this regard, this research seeks to contribute to the mitigation of violent conflict and promotion of peaceful development in the Ferghana Valley through the advancement of an evidence-based approach to understanding conflict. This work attempts to identify drivers of conflict escalation in the cross-border areas of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, Batken and Isfara respectively, which have long been the scenes of periodic conflicts, involving civilians, security forces and state officials.

2. ETYMOLOGY OF CONFLICT

Understanding different theoretical perspectives of conflict is important both for analysing the phenomenon and for developing respective mechanisms and responses. After the end of the Cold War conflicts were no longer perceived as resultant from ideological standpoints, but rather as a struggle of intrinsic antagonisms. New studies of conflicts favoured interpretations of conflict, which underlined primordial ethnic, cultural or religious differences and which called for international therapeutic interventions to contain violence and instability (Nascimento, 2011). Such ‘interventionism’ was justified by the distorted representation of weak states as failures of the modernity project (Duffield, 2001; Bichsel, 2009; Nascimento, 2011). According to this view, the result of this failure was the growth of failed states, which laid the foundation for the proliferation of ‘new wars’ characterised by new forms of warfare, identity politics, decentralised violence and a globalised war economy (Kaldor, 1999; Nascimento, 2011).

These ‘new wars’ construct new sectarian identities that undermine a sense of shared community and are usually difficult to end, because there is often no single cause of the

conflict (Patel, 2012). Warring parties have vested interests in the continuation of violence for various reasons, and the advocates of this 'new wars' hypothesis identified 'greed' or economic motives as the primary driver of conflict. Using a data set of civil wars over the period of 1960-1999, Paul Collier and Anke Hoeffler (2004) developed econometric models to predict the outbreak of civil conflict. They concluded that a model, which focused on the opportunities and economic conditions for rebellion, performed well, whereas grievances, such as ethnic and religious divisions within a community, added little explanatory power. These findings provoked further the 'greed versus grievance' debate on the causes of conflict.

Although the economic agendas approach has revealed a new dimension of conflict, many observers were unconvinced that 'new wars' were indeed 'new' at all (Kalyvas, 2001; Berdal, 2003). They argued that the role of economic factors cannot be easily isolated from other motivations, which lead to violence, and thus a range of motivations, including the nature of grievances, and their mutual interactions needs to be examined (Berdal, 2003; Keen, 2012). In this context, despite being considered dated, Edward Azar's (1990) theory of protracted social conflict may also be useful to the understanding of contemporary conflict dynamics. Azar identified four variables, which are responsible for the transformation of non-conflictual situations into conflictual ones: communal content, human needs, government and the state's role, and international linkages. As Oliver Ramsbotham (2005: 123) underlined, policy recommendations from an 'economic agenda' approach do not significantly differ from those advanced by Azar, which are the importance of managing ethnic dominance, countering a lack of economic opportunity, remedying government inability to protect minorities and handling the influence of diasporas.

Based on these issues, Paul Rogers (2007) identified three probable trends of broad contemporary conflicts. The first arises from human migration through social, economic and environmental motives. Increased nationalist tendencies and cultural conflict may surface in the most vulnerable communities within the recipient regions of relative wealth. The second trend is a competitive and violent response of the disempowered within and between states. The third trend is environmental and resource conflicts over issues such as fresh water, food or fossil fuels, whether local or regional.

The latter trend has been long attributed to the region of Central Asia, as many observers forecasted a fierce struggle in the Ferghana Valley over access to and use of limited natural resources. They predicted that unsatisfied human needs and porous borders would aggravate this competition and divide national communities along the region's ethnic lines.

3. THE FERGHANA VALLEY

The Ferghana Valley is a large diamond-shaped flatland spread in the heart of Central Asia across Southern Kyrgyzstan, Northern Tajikistan and Eastern Uzbekistan. Surrounded by extensive mountains of the Kuramin, Chatkal, Ferghana, Alai and Turkestan ranges and roughly defined by the basins of the Syr Darya river, the 22,000-square-kilometre valley is distinguished by its agricultural fertility, the principal crops being cotton, rice, wheat, fruits and vegetables.

The first signs of irrigated agriculture dated back to the late Bronze Age, and this agricultural productivity turned the Ferghana Valley into one of the most densely populated regions in the world (Saidov, Anarbaev and Goriyacheva, 2011). With a population of nearly 12-15 million, the valley accounts for approximately one fifth of Central Asia's total population. Thus, as Frederick Starr et al (2011: xii) underlined, whatever will happen in the Ferghana Valley will directly affect the economic, political and religious spheres of all three states – Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan.

Few were surprised, when the densely populated Ferghana Valley re-emerged after the demise of the Soviet Union as a fundamentally unstable zone that was prone to violent inter-ethnic conflict. Ample academic and journalistic literature has surfaced to advise on fault lines in Central Asia. These works indicated that the valley needs to be 'calmed', because it is a vulnerable area, where 'new violence is likely, indeed, almost certain' (Nunn, Rubin and Lubin, 1999). While differing in nuances, the proponents of 'calming' the valley argued that the potential for conflict stemmed from a vast array of factors, including poverty, over-population, unemployment, ecological crises, ethnic fragmentation, drug trafficking, nationalism, corruption, authoritarianism, Muslim fundamentalism and even the conspirological engagement of third parties (Nunn, Rubin and Lubin, 1999; Tabyshalieva, 1999; Slim, 2001; Osipov, 2002; Rotar, 2012).

While most of such 'catastrophizing' writings failed to demonstrate the existence of violent threats in the region and link the magnitude of predictions to the paucity of supporting evidence (Megoran, 2000: 21), what this literature succeeded in was re-inventing the Ferghana Valley as a site of intervention. As Christine Bichsel (2009) summarized, distinctly 'agentive' in nature, these works described a state of affairs in Central Asia requiring action to avert the dire consequences awaiting the region without external engagement, and calling on international aid agencies to swiftly take up those recommendations to mitigate perceived conflict. Quite often, those researchers, development agencies and experts claimed both a privileged relationship to understanding the reality in Central Asia and an implied obligation to cure the ills that can be seen from their privileged positions (Thompson and Heathershaw, 2005: 4).

Henceforth, from the late 1990s international aid agencies advanced a wide range of conflict prevention activities in the Ferghana Valley, which included prejudice reduction and tolerance education projects, early warning systems, topic-focused cross-border cooperation initiatives, entrepreneurship courses, micro-lending programmes, and border management trainings (De Martino, 2001). Such aid was usually spearheaded along the tenets of promoting 'liberal peace' to the Global South with its focus on democratisation and economic liberalisation (Bichsel, 2009). Indeed, the 'magic trio' of the market, democracy and civil society emerged as development panaceas in the 1980s to become a prescriptive solution to the problems of development in the 1990s (White, 1994; Khilnani, 2001: 12). Interventions of this nature were linked directly to a particular conceptualisation of conflict by international aid agencies. Most of the aid agencies assumed that conflict in Central Asia will erupt over scarce natural resources; that conflict parties will be divided along ethnic lines; and that economic underdevelopment, porous borders and unsatisfied human needs will trigger the violence.

While Western blueprint reforms failed at triggering the development of a pluralistic society and fostering democratic transformations in the region, a myriad of introduced conflict

prevention initiatives exposed the notion that conflict in Central Asia, whether real or perceived, cannot be reduced to a single variable. Ultimately, as Nick Megoran (2000: 25) underlined, many of the factors, which have triggered conflicts elsewhere in the world, are present in the Ferghana Valley, and thus the main goal of the researchers, aid agencies, governments and local communities alike is to introduce the changes necessary to prevent conflicts arising.

4. BATKEN AND SUGHD

Despite the prevalence of such ‘catastrophizing’ works about the Ferghana Valley, the literature on conflict potential in the Kyrgyz-Tajik border areas is limited. Yet, the number of small scale clashes and tensions on these borders has been growing steadily. Constituting part of the Ferghana Valley, the Batken region is located in the southwest of Kyrgyzstan. The region was established as a seventh separate oblast of Kyrgyzstan on October 12, 1999, partially as a result of the incursions of militant pan-Islamist extremists into the Ferghana Valley. The region has the population of 492,600 and relies heavily on livestock and cultivation (National Statistical Committee of the Kyrgyz Republic, 2016). Located in the northwest of Tajikistan, Sughd is home to nearly 2,349,000 inhabitants and has the largest proportion of cultivated land in the republic, in addition to being the only region of Tajikistan fully dependent on external sources of water (Murzakulova and Mestre, 2016: 7).

Of the 971-kilometre border dividing Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, only 519 kilometres have been officially agreed upon (Trilling, 2014; Sputnik, 2016). The disputed sections that separate the two states run through Kyrgyzstan’s Batken and Tajikistan’s Sughd provinces. There are also two enclaves, the Tajik districts of Vorukh and Western Qalacha, which are located within the Batken region. These are the areas, which see periodic conflict incidents, involving civilians, security forces and state officials. More specifically, the southern part of Isfara district of the Sughd region (Chorkuh, Surkh, Shurab and Vorukh jamoats) and the western part of the Batken region (Ak-Sai, Samarkandek and Ak-Tatyr municipalities) are the areas identified by observers as the most prone to inter-ethnic tensions (Bischel, 2009: 103).

In general, the years of independence for both Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan have been marred by conflicts on the borders of their Batken and Sughd provinces, respectively (for instance, in 2000, 2003, 2005, 2008, 2011, 2014, 2015). Per some reports, in the period from 2011 to 2013, there were 63 incidents on the Kyrgyz-Tajik border, ranging from small fights to hostage taking (Holiki and Rahimov, 2015). Serious conflict escalations usually involved arson, stone-throwing and the usage of garden tools. As a result, such escalations were often labelled as ‘ketmen wars’ (Bischel, 2009).

The conflict of January of 2014, however, was marked by a new dynamic. The Kyrgyz government began constructing an alternative road along Kok-Tash–Ak-Sai–Tamdyk, which would bypass the Tajik enclave of Vorukh. On January 11, soldiers of the Tajik Border Guard Service arrived on a construction site and confronted workers laying the road (Asia-Plus, 2014). In the ensuing standoff Kyrgyz and Tajik border guards fired shots. As a result, 5 Kyrgyz soldiers and 3 Tajik soldiers were injured (Azattyk, 2014b). The Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Tajikistan insisted that a stretch of the bypass road was going through a contested plot of land, and thus the Tajik border guards had the right to block the construction (Asia-

Plus, 2014). The Kyrgyz side insisted that the road was going through Kyrgyz territory and was built to ensure the secure and independent movement of Kyrgyz citizens who otherwise had to pass through Vorukh (Kabar, 2014; Knews, 2014).

If previous escalations were 'ketmen wars' or clashes, involving civilians equipped with stones and garden tools, this conflict involved regular army units from both sides who allegedly used heavy weapons such as mortars and rocket-propelled grenades (Asia-Plus, 2014; Toktonaliev, Olimova and Pirnazarov, 2014). This menacing trend has prompted both the government of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan to sit down again at the negotiating table and devise solutions addressing the root causes of the problem (Azattyk, 2014a).

Nonetheless, despite the ongoing inter-governmental discussions on the need to mitigate tensions on the Kyrgyz-Tajik borders, incidents of sporadic violence and escalations thereof have not diminished. Two months after the meeting of the intergovernmental commission, on May 7, 2014, clashes involving nearly 1,500 people broke out again on the Kyrgyz-Tajik border, leaving several people injured in addition to burned out cars and a gas station (Turmush, 2014a; Turmush, 2014b; Vinson, 2014). Only recently, on January 22, 2017, dozens of young men from both sides clashed near Kok-Tash village (Tolkanov, 2017). As Abdulkholiq Kholiqi and Nabijon Rahimov (2015) advised, the latent Isfara-Batken conflict inherited from the Soviet past is still haunting modern Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, thus hindering economic and cultural cooperation, complicating interstate relations and taking lives of civilians.

5. CAUSES OF CONFLICT

As identified earlier, from the late 1990s international aid agencies advanced a wide range of conflict prevention activities in the Ferghana Valley under the tenets of promoting 'liberal peace'. Most of them perceived conflict as resultant from disputed borders, scarce natural resources, economic underdevelopment and unsatisfied human needs. A more detailed scrutiny of the Batken-Isfara tensions revealed that escalations in the region were also related to the Soviet past and a lack of evidence-based policy advice in addition to the inefficient use of natural resources and militarisation of borders.

5.1. Soviet Legacy

Although modern Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan have witnessed a rise of conflict escalations on their borders, such conflict trends are not exclusive to the period of their independence. During the Soviet period the region also witnessed a number of conflict escalations despite the prevailing assumptions that territorial conflicts in Central Asia are inherently a post-Soviet phenomenon.

In 1924, after the initial Soviet national-territorial delimitation, the Kyrgyz Autonomous Soviet Socialist Republic (ASSR) requested the Soviet central government to assign Isfara and Sokh administrative units to the Kyrgyz ASSR (Koichiev, 2003; Bichsel, 2009). Based on the evaluation of inter-republican commissions, the central government rejected the petitions of the Kyrgyz ASSR and ruled that Isfara and Sokh would remain within the jurisdiction of the Uzbek Soviet Socialist Republic (SSR). Although the Soviet central government declared

that national-territorial delimitation in the Ferghana Valley was 'decisively settled', both the Kyrgyz ASSR and the Uzbek SSR disputed the final settlement.

As a result, regional territorial disputes were suspended, while an administrative borderline was often not enforced (Koichiev, 2003). Nonetheless, from time to time the region of Batken and Isfara turned violent over land plots (Bichsel, 2009). For instance, in 1936, violence between nomadic Kyrgyz and sedentary Tajik people over a winter encampment in the area of the Vorukh enclave resulted in the deaths of several people and left numerous others injured.

In the late 1960s, a cultivation farm of the Isfara region began expanding onto pastures of a state farm of the Batken region. Although the Soviet Ministry of Agriculture allowed the Isfara collective farm to use the land as pastures, under pressure from rapid population growth the Isfara farm decided to build a canal on this land to extend the irrigated area beyond the hitherto cultivated land (Bichsel, 2009). The aggrieved Kyrgyz villagers appealed on various instances, including sending a petition to the central government (Bichsel, 2009). After receiving no proper response from the authorities, Kyrgyz villagers decided to intervene themselves, which led to violent escalations between Kyrgyz and Tajik villagers in 1969, 1970 and 1975.

After the escalation of 1975 the inter-republican commission resolved to divide the disputed land between the two farms and resettle the Kyrgyz people to the newly-established village of Ak-Sai (Bichsel, 2009; Jamoat Resource Centre of Vorukh, 2011). The commission also obliged the Isfara farm to provide the Batken farm with water during the irrigation periods. However, this prescription was not sustained, because the water from the Mekhnatobod-Ak-Sai canal barely fulfilled the needs of the Vorukh community (Bichsel, 2009). As a result, under pressure from the aggrieved Kyrgyz villagers, the Kyrgyz SSR decided to construct a pump station of its own to satisfy the needs of the Ak-Sai community.

In 1989, a dispute over a land plot between the villages of Uch-Dobo and Khodjai Alo escalated into a violent conflict involving the villagers of Vorukh and Ak-Sai (Bichsel, 2009; Jamoat Resource Centre of Vorukh, 2011; Safar, 2011). Allegedly, the Tajik villagers had attempted to extend their cultivations towards Kyrgyz pastures, but after being met with resistance from the Kyrgyz villagers they blocked the Ak-Tatyr canal, which provided water to the Kyrgyz downstream villages. The Kyrgyz villagers attempted to forcibly unblock the canal which in turn was met with violent resistance from the Tajik villagers. The violence between the villagers was halted only after the intervention of Soviet soldiers (Bichsel, 2009).

A brief overview of conflict escalations in the Batken-Isfara region during the Soviet period reveals that the conflictual dynamics in this part of the Ferghana Valley have not emerged as a new phenomenon exclusive to the period of post-Soviet state building, and that incidents of conflict escalations were recorded as early as in the 1930s. The nature of these conflicts reveals that the Soviet central government had a clear preference to a sedentary lifestyle and agricultural modes of production over a transhumant lifestyle and animal husbandry, which, in turn, accounts for most of the conflict history in the Batken-Isfara region (Bichsel, 2009). In an attempt to secure Soviet self-sufficiency, Moscow focused on increasing arable land for agriculture, even at the expense of pastures. Soviet modernization projects not only affected nomadic and semi-nomadic populations and the long-established lifestyles in Central Asia, but also paved the way for environmental catastrophes such as the depletion of the Aral Sea.

In turn, the failures of the Soviet government to address the unintended repercussions of its policies turned the Batken-Isfara region into a site of contestation that divided sedentary Tajiks and transhumant Kyrgyz.

5.2. Inefficient Use of Natural Resources

Many observers have underlined that the real causes of conflict, which divide Batken and Isfara communities on ethnic lines, are rooted in the scarcity of natural resources. In particular, water is perceived to be a cause of conflict. There is a plethora of literature, however, which advises that causality between water and conflict is difficult to validate (Mitchell, 1973; Toset et al, 2000). On the contrary, even if conflict is already waged over other issues, shared interests along waterways consistently outweigh conflict-inducing characteristics, while cooperative water regimes tend to be particularly resilient over time (Wolf, 1998).

Nonetheless, there is a recurring discourse that the tensions on the Batken-Isfara border segment are directly linked to water scarcity, although this assertion fails to withstand more detailed scrutiny. According to the AQUASTAT of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations, total per capita amounts of renewable water resources in Kyrgyzstan (4,336.00 m³) and Tajikistan (3,095.00 m³) are higher than those of China (2,051.00 m³), Czech Republic (1,245.00 m³), Spain (2,384.00 m³), France (3,325.00 m³) and Germany (1,878.00 m³). The difference between fresh water and agricultural water withdrawals as a percentage of total renewable water resources in Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan is significant, but not critical. In their study, Asel Murzakulova and Irene Mestre (2015) applied the Falkenmark indicator to Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, which uses a threshold of 1,700 m³ per capita per year to determine if a population is under water stress. Accordingly, both countries do not fall into the category of states under water stress and are well resourced with water. Bichsel (2009) goes even further to argue that the whole notion of scarcity is a relative concept constituted by the social relations, political interests and moralities that shape the access to, control over and struggle for natural resources, and thus it should not be detached from the specific political, historic and cultural context.

In other words, water scarcity in Central Asia is rather defined by the use of water than by its real amount. As aforementioned, the policies of the Soviet central government favoured a sedentary lifestyle and agricultural modes of production; however, water was not effectively managed during the Soviet times neither, while irrigation channels were in a poor state even before the 1990s. During the period of independence, water infrastructure has only deteriorated further, since the budgets of both governments and farms have fallen dramatically (Izquierdo et al, 2010). Complex hydraulic infrastructure systems inherited from the Soviet Union assumed cooperation between the Central Asian states, especially between the upstream and downstream states. Nonetheless, the unified position of the USSR has been long replaced by sharply divergent economic interests and security arrangements, corresponding to the different strategic views of the Central Asian leadership.

As a result, the current water infrastructure on the Kyrgyz-Tajik border has fallen into a state of desrepair. Water channels are either silted up or damaged, while water pumps are broken or not working at full capacity, all of which leads to further water losses. Since hydraulic infrastructure is transboundary in nature, there is no dedicated institution responsible for

its rehabilitation and maintenance, while neither the government of Kyrgyzstan nor that of Tajikistan is willing to invest in water systems beyond its own national borders.

Accordingly, tensions on the border areas of Batken and Isfara escalate when the irrigation season starts. The cooperative expectations quite often do not match the reality both at inter-state and local levels. Even though the area is not under water stress, downstream users are still often deprived of water, while upstream users often abuse water abstractions. In turn, downstream users seek to mitigate this unequal arrangement through negotiations, protests, obstructions and sabotage, which in turn increase the security dilemma and escalate tensions.

5.3. Militarisation of Borders

In early August of 2015, a thin lane separating Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan became a zone of conflict between the citizens of both countries. Different sources quote up to 200 people involved from each side in a two-day rock-throwing incident (Sputnik, 2015; Zozulya, 2015; Leonard, 2015). According to the account of the Kyrgyz side, Tajik citizens blocked a road to a cemetery used by both the Kyrgyz villagers of Kok-Tash and the Tajik villagers of Somonien. In response, the residents of Kok-Tash blocked a water canal supplying Somonien. According to the account of the Tajik side, these events took place in the reverse order. While the social fabric in these villages was restored promptly, such incidents serve to expose how minor violations of unwritten rules have the potential of sparking violent conflicts (Leonard, 2015).

Most observers refer to a border demarcation as a solution that will ease such tensions on the Kyrgyz-Tajik borders. Indeed, for over 25 years Tajikistan and Kyrgyzstan could not agree on border delimitation and demarcation. The map of the Ferghana Valley is marked by disputed and porous borders and territorial units, known as 'enclaves', which are separated from its mainland by the lands of other countries. It appears that such divisions aggravate existing water and land disputes and fuel further economic uncertainties and interethnic animosities.

As aforementioned, soon after the collapse of the USSR, the Ferghana Valley was discursively cast as a volatile and crisis-ridden region prone to sectarian violence. These assumptions were based on the normative idea that the conception of territorial ambiguity is a metonym for latent conflict and danger to united citizenry (Reeves, 2005). As a result, those discourses characterised Central Asia as a site of intervention, prompting the international community to enter the region to mitigate conflict potential and promote peaceful development. However, quite often, those investments did not translate into calm and peaceful borders (D.T., 2014).

One of the greatest misconceptions of national and international observers was that stricter border control would mitigate the conflict potential of the region. While the fortification of border services and infrastructure may be a prerequisite for the modern nation-state, the fortification of yet unsettled border segments may emerge as a challenging step to introduce. As Bichsel (2009) highlighted, in the case of the Batken-Isfara region, border posts and checkpoints have only served to aggravate existing tensions. The region, where different ethnic groups have long co-existed in a network of interdependent communities, needed a more sensitive and human approach. As Madeleine Reeves (2014) underlined, the assertion of state territoriality in the Ferghana Valley often cuts across lines of kinship, worship, friendship, work and trade.

Rather than repairing, barbed wire fencing, passport checks and bypass roads only dent the existing microcosms further (Reeves, 2014). This phenomenon explains why, for instance, the bypass road, known locally now as 'the Kyrgyz road', has emerged as a cause of rupture between the Kyrgyz and Tajik villages. The application of state territoriality has excluded Tajik communities from using previously shared spaces. As a result, aggrieved Tajik youth have been known to pelt bypassing cars with stones, which in turn leads to retaliatory measures from drivers, and an escalation of the situation.

Anna Matveeva (2017) identified borders as places, where communities project their fears onto each other, whether these are the fears of being encircled and trapped or the fears of losing territory, assets and resources. In a sense, fear becomes a catalyst for identity formation in cross-border communities: it fortifies perceptions of collective insecurity even during periods of tranquillity and fosters the emergence of strong ethnic borderland identities reinforced by new nationhood ideologies and 'us against them' associations (Matveeva, 2017). In turn, even petty disputes in Central Asia can acquire a feature of ethnic polarisation (Matveeva, 2017).

That said, it is not porous borders that escalated conflict in the Batken-Isfara area, but rather it has been the greater focus on security through the militarisation of borders that has transformed the nature of relations in the region. Strict border regimes often contribute to instability instead of strengthening fragile peace by hampering cross-border movement across invisible frontiers that have been long governed by established rules, traditions and history. During the Soviet times, the borders, which separate modern Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan, had divided two Soviet socialist republics administratively. Accordingly, the rules and arrangements that governed the transboundary relations of two Soviet republics were not as strict as those that applied to the neighbours of the USSR. However, these practices changed in the early 1990s, as the internal administrative borders that separated the communities of Batken and Isfara suddenly re-emerged as international borders that thereafter separated 'us' from 'them'.

For this reason, a stricter border regime in Central Asia does not necessarily embody a more peaceful social fabric. The involvement of border guards and the use of military hardware are more likely to escalate the conflict to a completely new level – to the extent that one could even reconsider the plausibility of the aforementioned 'catastrophizing' writings. As the conflict near Vorukh in May 2014 served to show, this was no longer a 'ketmen fight', as it involved regular army units, heavy weapons and diplomatic protest notes. As Jeremy Slacket al (2016) emphasised, for many politicians 'secure' means 'militarised', which is not true for the people living on the borders. For instance, harassment perpetrated by border and immigration agents contradicts and often violates the notion of 'human security' (Slack et al, 2016; Kaldor et al, 2007).

Accordingly, it is not the disputed and porous borders that drive the conflict in the Batken-Isfara area, but rather the 'securitisation' of border relations that aggravates existing disputes and fuels further uncertainties and animosities. Instead of diminishing the number of 'ketmen fights', the militarisation of borders escalates the tensions stalling any further progress to a peaceful resolution of local disputes.

5.4. Lack of Evidence-Based Policy Advice

The ineffective use of natural resources or the militarisation of borders quite often stem from a lack of evidence-based decision-making in the region. Although evidence-based policymaking is predominantly well established in the developed world, Central Asian states are yet to fully experience the benefits of informed decision-making. Such an approach assumes the application of systematic evidence in order to continually improve policy decisions. Policymaking, derived from quality research and applied analyses, is instrumental in producing better policy options, reducing poverty, stimulating economic growth and enhancing the quality of life.

However, institutional capacity to conduct sustained and policy-relevant research is weak across the region. One of the main factors contributing to the pervasive capacity gap in public administration and applied research is the overall lack of investment in professional development opportunities for civil servants and researchers. The collapse of the USSR and the subsequent decline of its educational institutions along with a lack of national funding to sponsor quality research eroded the capacity of the Central Asian states to conduct evidence-based analyses. In addition, the interest of the international academic community in Central Asia (compared to that of the early 1990s) has also decreased significantly.

As a result, since governments, international donors and civil society actors often lack access to sufficiently well-founded options to inform robust policy debates and sound decisions, certain policy choices are detached from the actual context on the ground, do not address the root causes of the problem and at worst only exacerbate situations.

For instance, Murzakulova and Mestre (2015) advised that due to public administration reforms pasture lands in Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan are managed by diverse institutions with different interests and priorities such as pasture users' unions, state forestry administrations, and collective and individual farms. Such fragmentation complicates the processes of effective pasture management. In fact, the current Land Code of Kyrgyzstan prohibits the lease of pastures. This legislature, introduced to mitigate pasture degradation, however, is not conducive to mitigating the conflict potential of the Batken-Isfara region. On the contrary, Tajik communities often pay bribes for illegal grazing, which not only increases potential points of friction between Tajik villagers and Kyrgyz border guards, but can also trigger grievances among the Kyrgyz communities (Jamoat Resource Centre of Vorukh, 2011).

In addition, the underreported livestock of the Kyrgyz villagers who also use the same pastures as Tajik villagers contributes to an increasing pressure on land and a faster degradation of pastures, which may lead to new conflicts over access to lands in the future. The Jamoat Resource Centre of Vorukh (2011) reports that occasionally Tajik shepherds who have to pass through the Kyrgyz territory to reach remote Tajik grazing territories fail to pass 'environmental checkpoints' and are subject to money extortions from border guards, police and even youth gangs. As a solution, Tajik villagers often hire Kyrgyz shepherds to ease the transit. However, the potential losses of Tajik livestock also lead to disputes, since there is usually no written agreement between the Tajik owners of livestock and the Kyrgyz shepherds.

Accordingly, evidence-based policymaking has the potential to improve the quality of life in the Batken-Isfara region. Evidence-based policymaking concerns the process of devising policy.

This process should be rational and rigorous and grounded in the best available, contextual and experiential evidence. In fact, building effective, efficient and accountable institutions on both national and subnational levels has been identified by the United Nations as one of the most paramount goals to be achieved within the framework of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Such an informed approach may not only help mitigate cross-border tensions, but also help to address a wider range of structural problems prevailing in the region.

6. THREATS OF RADICAL ISLAMISM

There is a popular discourse both within and outside of the region that Muslim radicalisation is rapidly taking place across Central Asia. The widespread view is that the region is harbouring violent, religiously-motivated extremism. Recently, International Crisis Group (ICG) (2015) reported that the Islamic State (IS) is attracting a coalition of Central Asian jihadists and sympathisers and fostering a network of links within the region and nearby areas, including the Caucasus and Xinjiang. Violent extremist groups are gaining renewed traction, while Central Asian governments are using these threats to support their own political agendas and to curtail civil liberties.

As ICG (2016a; 2016b) concludes, the environment in Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan is favourable for radical groups with violent agendas to establish their strongholds. Poverty, ethnic divisions, state weaknesses and persistent corruption, among many other factors, draw some economically and socially marginalised Kyrgyz, Tajiks and Uzbeks to more radical and externally sponsored Islam. According to ICG interlocutors, Hizb ut-Tahrir already has thousands of members in Kyrgyzstan who are connected to the Islamic Movement of Uzbekistan (IMU) and the IS.

Indeed, at first glance, it may appear that the application of such discourse to the Batken-Isfara region may be quite relevant. In 1999 and 2000, the south of Kyrgyzstan was invaded by militant pan-Islamist extremists of the IMU led by Juma Namangani. Several hundred guerrillas wreaked havoc in the Batken region, terrorising villagers and taking hostages including high-ranking Kyrgyz officials and Japanese geologists. Nearly 60 people were killed on the Kyrgyz side during the fights with militant extremists in 1999 and 2000. Although such extremist incursions have not taken place since in Kyrgyzstan, ICG (2016a) warns that the IMU has a sleeping presence in southern Kyrgyzstan, while some of its members have emerged recently as recruiters for those Central Asians who want to go to Syria.

Nonetheless, despite the daunting predictions that the region is falling into the abyss of radical Islamism, there is little evidence at this stage to support the idea that Central Asia is being significantly infiltrated by violent Islamic extremist organisations (Heathershaw and Montgomery, 2014). John Heathershaw and David Montgomery (2014) argue that the threat of violent extremism in the region is both of a lower magnitude and different form than that identified in the public discourses, in that it is isolated, localised and inhibited by secularisation as much as it is driven by radicalisation. In the period between 2001 and 2013, there were only three attacks in Central Asia that have been claimed by violent Islamic groups (with a total of 11 deaths), while out of the 51 organisations on the US State Department's Designated Foreign Terrorist Organizations list, only two – the IMU and the Islamic Jihad Union – have any links to Central Asia.

In an open letter to ICG concerning its reporting of Islamic radicalisation in Central Asia, a group of Central Asia scholars (2017) stressed that ICG's 'specious and methodologically weak conclusions' can lead to a series of mistaken assumptions, problems and solutions in the reactive policy environment of Central Asia. The signatories of this letter underlined that there is no link between poverty and low education levels and a desire to join violent extremist groups, as well as the growth of non-traditional religious groups is not an indicator of the growth of violent extremism. The academics denote that such unsupported claims along with the absence of rigorous methodology influence policy and decision-makers in government and international organisations to believe in the existence of an imminent security threat from Islam and devise rapid responses in the form of a security policy on religion.

ICG immediately responded by welcoming an exchange of views and ideas in order to better understand the specific and multiple factors that can lead to radicalisation. The very existence of such conflicting approaches to understanding Islamic radicalisation in Central Asia demonstrates that this topic of common concern is extremely contested. There is even no agreement on how many Central Asians left for Syria and Iraq to join ISIS. According to the Polish Institute of International Affairs, Central Asia sends far less fighters to Iraq and Syria than the countries of Europe, the Middle East and North Africa (Dyner, Legieć and Rękawek, 2015). On a state-by-state basis, 1 in 14,400 Turkmen, 1 in 40,000 Tajiks, 1 in 56,000 Kyrgyz, 1 in 58,000 Uzbeks, and 1 in 72,000 Kazakhs have become foreign fighters in Syria (Dyner, Legieć and Rękawek, 2015).

The Polish Institute of International Affairs reports that in some countries of the Middle East and North Africa these numbers are more staggering. For example, 1 in 6,500 in Lebanon, 1 in 5,300 in Jordan, 1 in 7,300 in Tunisia, 1 in 18,200 in Saudi Arabia, and 1 in 22,000 in Morocco have joined foreign fighter ranks in Syria and Iraq. In Europe, 1 in 11,700 in Bosnia and Herzegovina, 1 in 23,800 in Belgium, and 1 in 55,200 in France have gone to fight for Islamic radicals in Syria and Iraq (Dyner, Legieć and Rękawek, 2015).

Yet, despite the assertive claims of the Polish Institute of International Affairs, the range in the estimates of the actual Central Asian recruits varies significantly. Indira Zholdubayeva, the Prosecutor General of the Kyrgyz Republic, reported that there are approximately 500 Kyrgyz citizens fighting in Syria and that another 500 Kyrgyz citizens affiliated with terrorist organisations were uncovered by Kyrgyz secret services (Ivashenko, 2015). The State Committee for the National Security of the Kyrgyz Republic also confirmed that approximately 400 Kyrgyz nationals are currently in Syria, 60 more returned to Kyrgyzstan and were prosecuted, and 51 people were killed in Syria (Ivashenko, 2015). In Tajikistan, official reports estimate that up to 700 Tajik citizens joined ISIS in Syria (Burskaya, 2015). The Ministry of Interior Affairs of Tajikistan stated that according to its information there are 1,100 citizens of Tajikistan fighting for ISIS in Syria and Iraq (Nazriev, 2017).

However, a relatively low interest amongst Central Asians to join radical Islamic organisations does not render the problem obsolete. Recent terrorist attacks in a shopping district of Stockholm, at Istanbul's Reina nightclub and in a Saint Petersburg metro station threw Central Asian natives in a notorious spotlight (Bailey, 2017; BBC News, 2017; Gauthier-Villars and Hinshaw, 2017; Nyberg and Masters, 2017); and although it is nearly impossible to objectively assess the threats posed by violent extremist organisations to Kyrgyzstan

or Tajikistan, existing evidence, albeit scarce, shows that Central Asians do get radicalised and join militant groups in Syria and Iraq. Profiling Tajik fighters in Syria and Iraq, Edward Lemon (2016) advised that most of these fighters have been recruited in Russia and transited through Turkey to reach Syria. However, there is no basic profile that fits all of those who travelled to Syria: some fighters have received formal religious education; some have worked in low-paid jobs; some are highly educated (Lemon, 2016). Meanwhile, those who travelled to Syria and Iraq include both men and women. In other words, the diverse backgrounds of radicalised Central Asians demonstrate that understanding the causes of radicalisation in a single linear way is reductionist and even misleading.

Accordingly, it is too simplistic to assume that villagers of the Isfara and Batken regions are particularly prone to radicalisation. Scarce natural resources, economic underdevelopment, disputed borders and unsatisfied human needs do not necessarily translate into religiously motivated extremism. Yet, Central Asia remains one of the most volatile and vulnerable regions in the world with weak political institutions and poor economic performance. A lack of religious knowledge, social exclusion and the attractiveness of anti-secular political ideas may inspire Central Asians to join violent extremist organisations. As Central Asia scholars advised in a letter to ICG (2017), factors that lead to Muslim radicalisation are specific, multiple and predominantly non-religious. Thus, a more nuanced and methodologically rigorous approach is needed for understanding this phenomenon and its relevance to regions such as Batken and Isfara.

7. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

To the governments of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan:

- Build capacity for evidence-based policymaking both on national and subnational levels
- Enhance national capacity to conduct quality research and applied analysis instrumental for informed decision-making
- Promote discourses of inter-ethnic friendship and cooperation
- Demilitarise borders and apply a more sensitive and human approach to the issues of border delimitation and demarcation

To the international community:

- Assist the governments of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan in establishing cooperative models of natural resource management
- Attract investment to the rehabilitation and maintenance of transboundary hydraulic infrastructure
- Promote a more effective use of natural resources, including the use of pastures, in the Batken-Isfara area
- Apply more nuanced and methodologically rigorous lenses to the analysis of complex political processes, such as threats of Muslim radicalisation, in the Central Asian region

8. CONCLUSION

Despite the prevalence of works on conflict in the Ferghana Valley, the literature on conflict potential in the cross-border areas of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan is fairly limited. Yet, the number of small scale clashes and tensions on the borders of the Batken and Isfara regions has been growing steadily. Accordingly, this work sought to contribute to the understanding of the ontology of conflict escalations in the area and identify factors that aggravate tensions between the communities.

A brief overview of conflict escalations in the Batken-Isfara region revealed that cross-border conflict in Central Asia cannot be explained in a single linear way and reduced to a variable of primordial inter-ethnic antagonisms. There are many factors, which can account for sectarian animosities. This work has identified four drivers, which exacerbate tensions and hinder the restoration of a peaceful social fabric in the Batken-Isfara region: the unresolved legacies of the Soviet past, inefficient use of natural resources, militarisation of borders and lack of evidence-based policymaking.

Since this work has been driven by normative aspirations, the author hopes that national actors and the international community will introduce the changes necessary to avert conflicts arising and turn the Batken-Isfara region into a place free of contestation and hostility. Finally, Central Asian folklore attributes aspects of friendship and hospitality to its peoples. There should be empirical grounds to prove that point.

REFERENCES

- An open letter from Central Asia scholars to the International Crisis Group (2017) 'Understanding Islamic radicalization in Central Asia', [Online], Available at: <http://thediplomat.com/2017/01/understanding-islamic-radicalization-in-central-asia/> [31 Jan 2017].
- Asia-Plus* (2014) 'Kto pervim otkril ogon na tajiksko-kirgizskoi granice?' ('Who opened fire first on the Kyrgyz-Tajik border?'), 11 January [Online], Available at: <https://news.tj/ru/news/kto-pervym-otkryl-ogon-na-tadzhiksko-kyrgyzskoi-granitse> [5 Apr 2017].
- Azar, E. (1990) *The management of protracted social conflict: Theory and cases*, Hampshire: Dartmouth Publishing Company Limited.
- Azattyk* (2014a) 'Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan: Chego ozhidat ot peregovorov?' ('Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan: what to expect from negotiations?'), 13 January [Online], Available at: <http://rus.azattyk.org/a/25228797.html> [11 Apr 2017].
- Azattyk* (2014b) 'Stroitelstvo dorogi Ak-Sai-Tamdyk-Kok-Tash prodoljaetsya' ('Construction of the road Ak-Sai-Tamdyk-Kok-Tash continues'), 12 January [Online], Available at: <http://rus.azattyk.org/a/25227290.html> [4 April 2017].
- Bailey, N. (2017) 'St. Petersburg subway bomb: 5 Things to know about Central Asia', *NBC News*, 4 April [Online], Available at: <http://www.nbcnews.com/news/world/st-petersburg-subway-bomb-5-things-know-about-central-asia-n742436> [23 Apr 2017].
- BBC News* (2017) 'Turkey nightclub attack: IS says it carried out shooting', 2 January [Online], Available at: <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-38487509> [23 Apr 2017].
- Berdal, M. (2003) 'How "new" and "New Wars"? Global economic change and the study of civil war', *Global Governance*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 477-502.
- Bichsel, C. (2005) 'In search of harmony: repairing infrastructure and social relations in the Ferghana Valley', *Central Asian Survey*, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 53-66.
- Bichsel, C. (2009) *Conflict transformation in Central Asia*, Abingdon: Routledge.
- Burskaya, Z. (2015) 'Tajikistan, Rossiya, dalee IGIL' ('Tajikistan, Russian and then ISIS'), *Current Time*, 22 December [Online], Available at: <http://www.currenttime.tv/a/27442533.html> [12 Apr 2017].
- Collier, P. and Hoeffler, A. (2004) 'Greed and grievances in civil war', *Oxford Economic Paper*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 563-595.
- D.T. (2014) 'The post-imperial chessboard', *The Economist*, 1 April, [Online], Available: <http://www.economist.com/blogs/banyan/2014/04/enclaves-central-asia> [9 Feb 2015].
- De Martino, L. (2001) *Peace initiatives in Central Asia: An inventory*, Geneva: Cimera.
- Duffield, M. (2001) *Global governance and the new wars: The merging of development and security*, London: Zed Books.

Dyner, A., Legieć, A. and Rękawek, K. (2015) 'Ready to go? ISIS and its presumed expansion into Central Asia', Policy Paper, no. 19, The Polish Institute of International Affairs.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (n.d.) 'AQUASTAT', [Online], Available at: http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/maps/World-Map.TRWR.cap_eng.htm [23 Jan 2017].

Jamoat Resource Centre of Vorukh (2011) *Potential for peace and threats of conflict: Development Analysis of cross-border communities in Isfara district of the Republic of Tajikistan and Batken district of the Kyrgyz Republic*.

Heathershaw, J. and Montgomery, D. (2014) 'The Myth of Post-Soviet Muslim Radicalization in the Central Asian Republic', Chatham House: The Royal Institute of International Affairs.

Heathershaw, J. (2010) 'Conflict transformation in Central Asia: irrigation disputes in the Ferghana Valley', by Christine Bichsel, reviewed in: *Central Asian Survey*, Vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 133-135.

Holiki, A. and Rahimov, N. (2015) 'Disputable territories as hotbeds of tension on the border', *Bulletin of TSULBP*, pp. 188-196.

Gauthier-Villars, D. and Hinshaw, D. (2017) 'Stockholm attack puts focus on terrorists from Central Asia', *The Wall Street Journal*, 9 April [Online], Available at: <https://www.wsj.com/articles/stockholm-attack-puts-focus-on-terrorists-from-central-asia-1491764083> [24 Apr 2017].

International Crisis Group (2015) 'Syria calling: Radicalisation in Central Asia', Bishkek/Brussels: Europe and Central Asia Briefing, No. 72.

International Crisis Group (2016a) 'Kyrgyzstan: State fragility and radicalisation', Osh/Bishkek/Brussels: Crisis Group Europe and Central Asia Briefing, No. 83.

International Crisis Group (2016b) 'Tajikistan early warning: Internal pressures, external threats', Bishkek/Brussels: Crisis Group Europe and Central Asia Briefing, No. 78.

Ivashenko, E. (2015) 'Kyrgyzstan: Kto uezjaet voevat v Sirii' ('Kyrgyzstan: Who goes to fight in Syria'), *Fergana News*, 20 November [Online], Available at: <http://www.fergananews.com/articles/8774> [12 Apr 2017].

Izquierdo, L., Stangerhaugen, M., Castillo, D., Nixon, R. and Jimenez, G. (2010) 'Water crisis in Central Asia: Key challenges and opportunities', New School University.

Kabar (2014) 'Uchastok v 0.78 sotok na trasse Kok-Tash-Aksai-Tamdyk, kotoryi osparival Tajikistan prinadlezhit Kyrgyzstanu – A. Mamataliev' ('The plot of land of 0.78 acres on the road Kok-Tash-Aksai-Tamdyk disputed by Tajikistan belongs to Kyrgyzstan – A. Mamataliev'), 14 May [Online], Available at: <http://old.kabar.kg/rus/society/full/79246> [11 Apr 2017].

Kaldor, M. (1999) *New and old wars*, Cambridge: Polity Press.

Kaldor, M., Martin, M. and Selchow, S. (2007) 'Human security: A new strategic narrative for Europe', *International Affairs*, vol. 83, no. 2: pp. 273-88.

- Kalyvas, S. (2001) “New” and “old” civil wars: A valid distinction?, *World Politics*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 99-118.
- Keen, D. (2012) ‘Greed and grievance in civil war’, *International Affairs*, vol. 88, pp. 757–777.
- Khamidov, A. (2009) ‘Fergana Valley: Stringent border measures fuelling tension in enclaves’, *Eurasianet.org*, 12 August, [Online], Available: <http://www.eurasianet.org/departments/insightb/articles/eav081309.shtml> [8 Feb 2016].
- Khilnani, S. (2001) ‘The development of civil society’, in Kaviraj, S. and Khilnani, S. (ed.) *Civil society: History and possibilities*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 11-32.
- Knews (2014) ‘Stroitelstvo avtodorogi Kok-Tash-Ak-Sai-Tamdyk budet dovedeno do konca’ (‘The construction of the road Kok-Tash-Ak-Sai-Tamdyk will be completed’), 12 January [Online], Available at: <http://knews.kg/2014/01/stroitelstvo-avtodorogi-kok-tash-ak-say-tamdyk-budet-dovedeno-do-kontsa/> [11 Apr 2017].
- Koichiev, A. (2003) ‘Ethno-territorial claims in the Ferghana Valley during the process of national delimitation, 1924-7’, in Everett-Heath, T. (ed.) *Central Asia: Aspects of transition*, London: Routledge Curzon, pp. 45-56.
- Lemon, E. (2016) *Governing Islam and security in Tajikistan and beyond: The emergence of transnational authoritarian security governance*, PhD thesis, University of Exeter.
- Leonard, P. (2015) ‘Tajikistan and Kyrgyzstan: Interethnic clash shines light on fraying social fabric’, *Eurasia.net*, 11 August, [Online], Available at: <http://www.eurasianet.org/node/74631> [19 Jan 2017].
- Matveeva, A. (2017) ‘Divided we fall ... or rise? Tajikistan–Kyrgyzstan border dilemma’, *Cambridge Journal of Eurasian Studies*, vol. 1, pp. 1-20.
- Megoran, N. (2000) ‘Calming the Ferghana Valley experts’, *Central Asian Monitor*, no. 5, pp. 20-25.
- Mitchell, W. (1973) ‘The hydraulic hypothesis: A reappraisal’, *Current Anthropology*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 532-534.
- Murzakulova, A. and Mestre, I. (2015) *Natural resource management dynamics in border communities of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan*, Bishkek: University of Central Asia.
- Nascimento, D. (2011) ‘The (in)visibility of war and peace: A critical analysis of dominant conflict prevention and peacebuilding strategies in the case of Sudan’, *International Journal of Peace Studies*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp.43-57.
- National Statistical Committee of the Kyrgyz Republic (2016) ‘Chislennost naseleniya Kirgizskoi Respubliki za 1 Janvarya 2016 goda’ (‘Population of the Kyrgyz Republic for January 1, 2016’), [Online], Available at: <http://stat.kg/ru/statistics/naselenie/> [23 Apr 2017].
- Nazriev, S. (2017) ‘Glava Hatlona obespokoen bolshim kolichestvom bohtarcev v Sirii’ (‘Head of Hatlon is concerned with the huge number of bokhtars in Syria’), *Asia-Plus*, 21

January [Online], Available at: <https://news.tj/ru/news/tajikistan/security/20170121/glava-hatlona-obespokoen-bolshim-kolichestvo-bohtartsev-v-sirii> [13 Apr 2017].

Nunn, S., Rubin, B. and Lubin, N. (1999) *Calming the Ferghana Valley: Development and dialogue in the heart of Central Asia*, Preventive Action Reports, New York: The Century Foundation Press.

Nyberg, P. and Masters, J. (2017) 'Sweden terror suspect "confesses" to Stockholm attack', 11 April [Online], Available at: <http://edition.cnn.com/2017/04/11/europe/stockholm-terror-attack-rakhmat-akilov/> [23 Apr 2017].

Osipov, A. (2004) 'Ferghanskiye sobitiya 1989 goda: Konstruirovaniye etnicheskogo konflikta', in Abashin, S. and Bushkov, V. (ed.) *Ferghanskaya dolina: Etnichnost, etnicheskiye processy, etnicheskiye konflikty*, Moscow: Nauka, pp. 164-223.

Patel, P. (2012) 'Causes of conflict', in Howard, N., Sondorp, E. and Veen, A. (ed.), *Conflict and health*, Maidenhead: Open University Press, pp. 5-13.

Ramsbotham, O. (2005) 'The analysis of protracted social conflict: A tribute to Edward Azar', *Review of International Studies*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 109-126.

Reeves, M. (2005) 'Locating danger: Konfliktologiya and the search for fixity in the Ferghana valley borderlands', *Central Asian Survey*, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 67-81.

Reeves, M. (2014) *Border work: Spatial lives of the state in rural Central Asia*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Rogers, P. (2007) 'Peace studies', in Collins, A. (ed.) *Contemporary security studies*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 35-52

Rotar, I. (2012) 'Will the Fergana Valley become a hotbed of destabilization in Central Asia?', *Eurasia Daily Monitor*, vol. 9, no. 180, [Online], Available at: <https://jamestown.org/program/will-the-fergana-valley-become-a-hotbed-of-destabilization-in-central-asia/#sthash.9cOpH81M.dpuf> [12 Jan 2017].

Safar, T. (2011) 'Vesna – goryachaya pora dlya tajiksko-kirgizkih otnosheni?' ('Spring – is the hot season for the Tajik-Kyrgyz relations?'), *Radio Free Europe/ Radio Liberty*, 24 March [Online], Available at: http://www.ozodi.org/a/chorkuh_isfara_kyrgyzstan/2348352.html [11 Apr 2017].

Saidov, A., Anarbaev, A. and Goriyacheva, V. (2011) 'The Ferghana Valley: The pre-colonial legacy', in Starr, D., Beshimov, B., Bobokulov, I. and Shozimov, P. (ed.) *Ferghana Valley: The heart of Central Asia*, New York: M.E. Sharpe, pp. 3-28.

Slack, J., Martinez, D., Lee, A. and Whiteford, S. (2016) 'The geography of border militarization: Violence, death and health in Mexico and the United States', *Journal of Latin American Geography*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 7-32.

Slim, R. (2001) 'The Ferghana Valley: In the midst of a host of crises', in Tongeren, P., Veen H, and Verhoeven, J. (ed.) *Searching for peace in Europe and Eurasia: An overview of conflict prevention and peacebuilding activities*, Boulder: Lynne Rienner.

Sputnik (2015) 'Konflikt mejdu grajdunami Kyrgyzstana i Tajikistana v sele Kok-Tash' ('Conflict between the citizens of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan in the village of Kok-Tash'), 4 August [Online], Available at: <https://ru.sputnik.kg/infographics/20150804/1017166313.html> [10 Apr 2017].

Sputnik (2016) 'Kabmin odobril delimitaciju 519 km granicy s Tajikistanom; ('Government approved delimitation of a 519-km border with Tajikistan'), 16 January [Online], Available at: <https://ru.sputnik.kg/politics/20160116/1021605681.html> [24 Apr 2017].

Starr, F. (2011) 'Introduction' in Starr, D., Beshimov, B., Bobokulov, I. and Shozimov, P. (ed.) *Ferghana Valley: The heart of Central Asia*, New York: M.E. Sharpe, pp. ix-3.

Tabyshalieva, A. (1999) *The challenge of regional cooperation in Central Asia: Preventing ethnic conflict in the Ferghana Valley*, Peaceworks, no. 28, Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace.

Thompson, C. and Heathershaw, J. (2005) 'Discourses of danger in Central Asia: Introduction', *Central Asian Survey*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 1-4.

Tolkanov, B. (2017) 'Obe storoni kinflikta na kyrgyzko-tadjikskoi granice – o chem govoryat' ('Two sides of conflict on the Kyrgyz-Tajik borders – what they are talking about'), 23 January [Online], Available at: <https://ru.sputnik.kg/analytics/20170123/1031403221/chto-proizoshlo-na-granice-kyrgyzstana-i-tadzhikistana.html> [11 Apr 2017].

Toktonaliev, T., Olimova, L. and Pirnazarov, N. (2014) 'Kyrgyz-Tajik row after border clash', Institute for War and Peace Reporting, 15 January [Online], Available at: <https://iwpr.net/global-voices/kyrgyz-tajik-row-after-border-clash> [3 Apr 2017].

Toset, H., Gleditsch, N., and Hegre, H. (2000) 'Shared rivers and interstate conflict', *Political Geography*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 971-996.

Trilling, D. (2014) 'Kyrgyzstan-Tajikistan: What's next after border shootout?', Eurasianet.org, 13 January, [Online], Available: <http://www.eurasianet.org/node/67934> [8 Feb 2016].

Turmush (2014a) 'GPS soobshaet podrobnosti incidenta na kyrgyzko-tadjikiskom uchastke granicy v Batkenskoy raione' ('State border service reports the details of the incident of the Kyrgyz-Tajik area in the Batken region'), 8 May [Online], Available at: <http://www.turmush.kg/ru/news:57661> [11 Apr 2017].

Turmush (2014b) 'Zamglavi MVD K. Asanov posetil zhitelei sel Batkenskogo raiona, gde vo vremya prigranichnogo incidenta bili sojjeni ryad obiketov' ('Deputy head of the Ministry of Interior Affairs K. Asanov visited the villagers of the Batken region, where several objects have been burned down during the cross-border conflict'), 15 May [Online], Available at: <http://www.turmush.kg/ru/news:58559> [11 Apr 2017].

Vinson, M. (2014) 'Border clashes with Kyrgyzstan threaten Tajikistan's regional integration', *Eurasia Daily Monitor*, vol. 11, no. 94, 20 May [Online], Available at: <https://jamestown.org/program/border-clashes-with-kyrgyzstan-threaten-tajikistans-regional-integration/> [28 Mar 2017].

White, G. (1994) 'Civil society, democratization and development (I): Clearing the analytical ground', *Democratization*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 375-390.

Wolf, A. (1998) 'Conflict and cooperation along international waterways', *Water Policy*, vol. 1, pp. 251-265.

Zozulya, M. (2015) 'Na granice Batkenskoi oblasti i Tajikistana proizoshel konflikt' ('A conflict took place on the borders of the Batken region and Tajikistan'), 3 August [Online], Available at: http://www.vb.kg/doc/321945_na_granice_batkenskoy_oblasti_i_tadjikistana_proizoshel_konflikt.html [10 Apr 2017].

